YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING 1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801

DIRECT DIAL: 302-571-6743 DIRECT FAX: 302-576-3517 alundgren@ycst.com

P.O. Box 391 Wilmington, Delaware 19899-0391 (302) 571-6600 (302) 571-1253 FAX (800) 253-2234 (DE ONLY) www.youngconaway.com

March 26, 2007

BY CM/ECF and HAND DELIVERY

The Honorable Mary Pat Thynge United States District Court 844 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Re: Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd. v. ON Semiconductor Corp., C.A. No. 06-720

Dear Magistrate Judge Thynge:

Pursuant to the Court's instructions at the March 14, 2007 discovery teleconference (D.I. 63), enclosed please find a chart showing the parties' proposed scheduling dates (Exhibit A) and plaintiffs' proposed Rule 16 Scheduling Order (Exhibit B), which is based on the Court's standard form of scheduling order. Defendants are submitting a competing form of order that is based in part on the Local Rules of the Eastern District of Texas, and that contains numerous provisions that we believe are neither appropriate nor necessary in Delaware.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew A. Lundgren (No. 1429)

AAL:hkh Enclosures

cc: Clerk of the Court (by hand delivery)

Karen Jacobs Louden, Esquire (by CM/ECF and e-mail)

James Marina, Esquire (by e-mail)

John W. Shaw, Esquire (by hand delivery)

DB02:5867983.1 065888.1001

EXHIBIT A

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. ON Semiconductor Corp.

Civil Action No. 06-720 (***)

Proposed Dates for Scheduling Order

Event	Plaintiffs' Proposed Dates	Defendants' Proposed Dates	Court Ordered Dates	
Rule 26(a)(1) Initial	Within 10 days of	Within 10 days of		
Disclosures	Scheduling Order	Scheduling Order		
Joinder of Other Parties	Schodding Order	Scheduling Order		
and Amendment of	September 19, 2007	90 days after Answer		
Pleadings	September 19, 2007	filed		
1 loadings				
Fact Discovery Cut-Off	March 13, 2008	March 13, 2008		
Opening Expert Reports	April 15, 2008	April 15, 2008		
Rebuttal Expert Reports	May 15, 2008	May 15, 2008		
Expert Discovery Cut-Off	June 19, 2008	June 19, 2008		
Stipulated Protective	Within 30 days of	Within 30 days of		
Order	Scheduling Order	Scheduling Order		
ADR Process	To be discussed at	To be discussed at the		
	September 14, 2007	at the Status		
	conference	Conference		
Interim Status Report	September 7, 2007	September 7, 2007		
Status Conference	September 14, 2007	At the Court's		
		convenience		
Settlement Conference	To be discussed at	Within 90 days of		
	September 14, 2007	Scheduling Order		
	conference			
Technology Tutorial	To be discussed at	To be discussed at the		
	September 14, 2007	at the Status		
	conference	Conference		
Case Dispositive Motions	July 17, 2008	July 17, 2008		
Claim Construction Issue	March 20, 2008	July 12, 2007		
Identification		(proposed terms),		
		August 2, 2007		
		(preliminary		
	T 10 0000	constructions)		
Joint Claim Construction	June 18, 2008	10 days before Claim		
Chart	T 25 2000	Construction Hearing		
Opening Claim	June 25, 2008	October 19, 2007		
Construction Briefs	T1- 0 2009	Navamban 2, 2007		
Answering Claim	July 9, 2008	November 2, 2007		
Claim Construction and	August 20, 2000	At the Court's		
Claim Construction and	1 5			
Summary Judgment		convenience		
Hearing	<u> </u>			

Final Pretrial Order	September 17, 2008	October 1, 2008	
Pretrial Conference	October 1, 2008	October 15, 2008	
Trial	October 15, 2008	At the Court's	
		convenience	

Defendants propose the following additional dates based on the Local Rules of the Eastern District of Texas, which Plaintiffs believe are inappropriate and unnecessary:

Event	Defendants' Proposed Dates		
Infringement Contentions and Accompanying Disclosure	May 10, 2007		
Invalidity Contentions and Accompanying Disclosure	June 28, 2007		
Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement	August 30, 2007		
Willfulness Disclosures	January 15, 2008		
Completion of Claim Construction Discovery	October 4, 2007		
Reply Claim Construction Brief	November 16, 2007		

EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA GENERAL, L.L.C., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., and SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR L.L.C.,		
Plaintiffs,	:	Civil Action No. 06-CV-0720 (***)
v.	:	
ON SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.	:	
and	:	
SEMICONDUCTOR COMPONENTS INDUSTRIES, LLC,	:	
Defendants.	: :	
	:	

SCHEDULING ORDER

This ______, 2007, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on March 14, 2007, and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery Default Standard. The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within ten days of the date of this Order. If they have not already done so, the parties are to review the

Court's Default Standard for Discovery of Electronic Documents, which is posted at http://www.ded.uscourts.gov (see Orders, etc., Policies & Procedures, Ad Hoc Committee for Electronic Discovery), and is incorporated herein by reference.

Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties, and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before September 19,
 2007.

3. Discovery.

- a. <u>Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery</u>. Each side (Plaintiffs are collectively one side and Defendants are collectively another) is limited to a total of 125 hours of taking testimony by deposition upon oral examination. This limitation does not include expert depositions.
- b. <u>Location of Depositions</u>. Any party or representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.
- c. <u>Discovery Cut Off.</u> All fact discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before **March 13, 2008**. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.
- d. <u>Disclosure of Expert Testimony</u>. For the party who has the initial burden of proof on the subject matter, the initial Federal Rule 26(a)(2) disclosure of expert testimony is

due on or before April 15, 2008. The supplemental disclosure to contradict or rebut evidence on the same matter identified by another party is due on or before May 15, 2008. Along with the submissions of the expert reports, the parties shall advise of the dates and times of their experts' availability for deposition. Expert discovery shall be completed on or before June 19, 2008.

To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc., 509 U.S. 579 (1993), as incorporated in Federal Rule of Evidence 702, it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

- e. Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6173 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed and a summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.
- 4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Should counsel find it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel should confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within thirty days from the date of this

3

Page 8 of 11

Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel must first follow the provisions of Paragraph 3e above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

- 5. Papers Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel should deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.
 - ADR Process. To be discussed at the September 14, 2007 conference. 6.
- 7. Interim Status Report. On September 7, 2007, counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.
- 8. Status Conference. On September 14, 2007, the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at 2 p.m. Plaintiffs' counsel shall initiate the telephone call. At the time of this conference, counsel shall also be prepared to discuss the progress, if any, of settlement discussions and shall be prepared to discuss the possibility of setting up a settlement conference with the Court, counsel and their clients.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they shall notify the Court in writing before the conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

- Page 9 of 11
- 9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue. To be discussed at the September 14, 2007 conference.
- 10. Case Dispositive Motions. All case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before July 17, 2008. Briefing will be presented pursuant to the Court's Local Rules.
- 11. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on March 20, 2008, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted on June 18, 2008. The parties Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon shall be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.
- 12. Claim Construction. The parties shall contemporaneously submit initial briefs on claim construction issues on June 25, 2008. The parties' answering/responsive briefs shall be contemporaneously submitted on July 9, 2008. No reply briefs or supplemental papers on claim construction shall be submitted without leave of the Court. Local Rule 7.1.3(4) shall control the page limitation for initial (opening) and responsive (answering) briefs.

- 13. <u>Hearing on Claim Construction</u>. Beginning at 10 a.m. on **August 28, 2008**, the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction and summary judgment.
- 14. <u>Applications by Motion</u>. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.
- 15. <u>Pretrial Conference</u>. On **October 1, 2008**, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at 10 a.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure requirement of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before **September 17, 2008**.
- Motions in Limine. Motions in limine shall not be separately filed. All in limine requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The in limine request and any response shall contain the authorities relied upon; each in limine request may be supported by a maximum of three pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of three pages of argument. If more than one party is supporting or opposing an in limine request, such support or opposition shall be combined in a single three (3) page submission, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. No separate briefing shall be submitted on in limine requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.
- 17. <u>Jury Instructions, Voir Dire, and Special Verdict Forms</u>. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire,

verdict forms, and jury interrogatories.

instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in WordPerfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special

Page 11 of 11

18. <u>Trial</u>. This matter is scheduled for a 14 day jury trial beginning at 9:00 a.m. on **October 15, 2008**. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of 20 hours to present their case.

7